

Ron C. Finley, Esq. (Cal. Bar. No. 200549)  
Alfredo A. Bismonte, Esq. (Cal. Bar. No. 136154)  
Craig Alan Hansen, Esq. (Cal. Bar. No. 209622)  
Beck, Ross, Bismonte & Finley, LLP  
50 West San Fernando Street, Suite 1300  
San Jose, CA 95113  
Tel: (408) 938-7900  
Fax: (408) 938-0790  
Email: [rfinley@beckross.com](mailto:rfinley@beckross.com)  
[abismonte@beckross.com](mailto:abismonte@beckross.com)  
[chansen@beckross.com](mailto:chansen@beckross.com)

Mark E. Zeller, Esq. (Cal. Bar. No. 219427)  
Law Offices of Mark Edward Zeller  
2255 Contra Costa Blvd., Suite 304  
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523  
Tel: (925) 363-5848  
Fax: (925) 363-7454  
Email: [mzeller@mezlaw.com](mailto:mzeller@mezlaw.com)

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Richard A. Noble and Charlene R. Noble

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICHARD A. NOBLE, and CHARLENE R.  
NOBLE,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

KIEWIT PACIFIC CO., a Delaware  
corporation; LIFE INSURANCE  
COMPANY OF NORTH AMERICA, a  
Pennsylvania corporation; PETER KIEWIT  
SONS', INC. HEALTH AND WELFARE  
PLAN; PETER KIEWIT SONS', INC., a  
Delaware corporation; JOHN JANSEN, an  
individual; MICHAEL PHELPS, an  
individual; and JANE SEWELL, an  
individual

Defendants.

Case No. C08-00666-SI

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO SHORTEN TIME  
TO HEAR MOTION FOR EXPEDITED  
DEPOSITION OF RICHARD NOBLE**

Hon. Susan Illston

1 Plaintiffs Richard Noble and Charlene Noble have moved, pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1  
2 and 6-3, for an order shortening the time in which to hear their Motion for an Expedited Deposition of  
3 Richard Noble.

4 Having considered the parties' papers filed in connection with plaintiffs' motion, and good  
5 cause appearing therefore, plaintiffs' motion is GRANTED.

6 Plaintiffs' Motion for an Expedited Deposition of Richard Noble is set for hearing on  
7 February \_\_, 2008.

8 Any papers submitted in opposition to plaintiffs' motion shall be filed and served on all  
9 parties no later than February \_\_, 2008.

10 Plaintiffs shall present any reply arguments orally at the hearing in this matter.

11 If, after considering the moving and opposition papers, the court is prepared to rule on this  
12 matter without oral argument, the court shall vacate the hearing date and will issue its ruling on the  
13 papers.

14 It is so ordered.

15  
16  
17 Dated: February \_\_, 2008

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
U.S. District Court Judge